

**From:** Stephen Chesney-Beales <Stephen.Chesney-Beales@peterborough.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 12 September 2024 15:20  
**To:** info@belsontreesurvey.co.uk <info@belsontreesurvey.co.uk>  
**Cc:** geoff.sayers.gs@googlemail <geoff.sayers.gs@googlemail>  
**Subject:** Re - Objection to Provisional TPO - Land rear of 20 Gunthorpe Road, Peterborough - 24/00002/TPO

Good afternoon Andrew

Please accept my apologies for the delay in responding to your Objection report dated 9<sup>th</sup> May 2024, the contents of which have been noted.

Please note the following response to the points raised in Sections 5 Observations and analysis and 6 Concluding opinions and recommendations.

I do not agree with most of the observations, analysis or opinions within the above report, or the recommendations, as I consider:

all of the trees subject of the report are considered to offer public visual amenity value to the site and the surrounding area, as at least all or part of the trees in question are visible from a public place, including from both sides of the Folly River and from views between the adjacent houses on both Gunthorpe Road & Fulbridge Road, either in a vehicle or on foot; and

the significant visual amenity value offered by the trees can be appreciated by the aerial photograph provided, therefore, it is considered that the removal of the trees would have a significant negative impact on the amenity of the area and the local environment and its enjoyment by the public; and

the existing condition, size and form of the trees, especially the Hawthorn within the large central group, are considered acceptable and safe, given the nature of the site, with no public access, and the younger trees have the potential to contribute further to the amenity of the site, as they mature; and

the trees as a whole contribute significantly to the landscape setting of the area and are importance to nature conservation within the surrounding area, again given the nature of the site and its location adjacent to the Folly River.

It is proposed that the Area designation of the Order will be amended by identifying the following groups of trees and individual trees, as set out below:

Group G.1 - 15 Hawthorn, as shown by Group 2, in the report, and

Group G.2 - 2 Elder, as shown by Group 1, in the report, and

Group G.3 - 1 Deodar Cedar, 1 Silver Birch, 1 Apple, 1 Hawthorn & 1 Eucalyptus, as shown by trees T4. Deodar Cedar, T5. Silver Birch, T7. Apple, T8 Hawthorn & T6. Eucalyptus, in the report, and

Group G.4 - 3 Cherry, 7 Sycamore, 1 Silver Birch & 1 Apple, as shown by Group 3, in the report, and

T.1 Ash, as shown by T1. Ash, in the report, and

T.2 Elder, as shown by T2. Elder, in the report, and

T.3 Hawthorn, as shown by T3 Hawthorn, in the report, and

T.4 Apple, and

T.5 Hawthorn, as shown by T9 Hawthorn, in the report.

Please note, the above changes will only be made, once a decision has been made to confirm the Order, see below for further information.

With the above responses in mind, I respectfully request that you consider withdrawing your objection and agree to the above amendments.

As an objection has been made to the making of the Order, the matter will be taken to the Council's Planning & Environmental Protection Committee on Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> October for consideration in light of the objections received, unless you consider withdrawing your objection.

If you continue with your objection, you will be contacted again, in the near future with details of the Committee meeting, you will be given an opportunity to present your objections to the Committee, should you wish to do so.

If you wish to discuss any of the above further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

**Stephen Chesney-Beales**

Tree Officer

Planning Services,  
Place & Economy,  
Sand Martin House,  
Bittern Way,  
Fletton Quays  
Peterborough.  
PE2 8TY