

**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE ANNUAL COUNCIL MEETING  
HELD WEDNESDAY 16 OCTOBER 2019  
COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH**

**THE MAYOR – COUNCILLOR GUL NAWAZ**

**Present:**

Councillors Aitken, Ali, Allen, Ash, Barkham, Bashir, Bisby, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Farooq, Fitzgerald, Fower, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Haynes, Hemraj, Hiller, Hogg, Holdich, Howard, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Azher Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lamb, Lane, Lillis, Murphy, Gul Nawaz, Shaz Nawaz, Over, Qayyum, Robinson, Rush, Sandford, Seaton, Shaheed, Simons, Skibsted, Walsh, Warren, Wiggin, Yasin

**1. Cabinet Recommendation – Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan - Proposed Submission**

A vote was taken (unanimous) and it was **RESOLVED** that Council:

1. Approved the Proposed Submission ('Publication Draft') Minerals and Waste Local Plan as attached at Appendix A, for the purpose of both its final consultation for six weeks (at some point during November 2019 to January 2020 - if the consultation period includes the Christmas week, then consultation will run for eight weeks); AND its subsequent submission to the Secretary of State for the purpose of independent examination.
2. Approved the proposed Policies Map (including associated inset maps) as set out at Appendix B, for the purpose of consultation alongside the Local Plan consultation AND for subsequent submission to the Secretary of State for consideration alongside the examination of the Local Plan.
3. Delegated to the Head of Sustainable Growth Strategy any presentational improvements, factual updating, or other inconsequential changes (e.g. correcting typographical errors or factual inaccuracies) to the Publication Draft Plan or Policies Map that (taken together) do not materially affect the policies set out in the Local Plan prior to the consultation commencing, or changes necessary to address any minor amendments arising from the Plan's consideration by Cambridgeshire County Council's democratic process.
4. Delegated to the Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, Housing and Economic Development authority to make more substantive changes to the Plan as attached, prior to consultation, provided he should see fit to do so, but only if it would help to address any more substantive suggested amendments arising from the Plan's consideration by Cambridgeshire County Council's democratic process.
5. Delegated to the Head of Sustainable Growth Strategy the ability to agree and consult upon a set of proposed modifications during the examination process (most likely at the very end of the examination process), if asked by the Inspector to do so.

**2. Cabinet Recommendation – Budget Control Report June 2019**

A vote was taken (28 in favour, 24 voted against, 3 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Aitken, Allen, Bashir, Bisby, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Hiller, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Lane, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Seaton, Simons, Walsh, Warren

**Councillor Against:** Ali, Barkham, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, Haynes, Hemraj, Hogg, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lillis, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Sandford, Shaheed, Skibsted, Wiggin, Yasin

**Councillors Abstaining:** Ash, Day, Howell

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

It was **RESOLVED** that the Council approved:

1. The revenue budget virement to reprofile the budget based on revised assumptions, outlined in section 5, with further detail of the 2019/20 reprofiled Budget contained in Appendix B
2. The capital budget virements over £0.5m.
3. The addition of the Allia Centre to the disposals schedule.

### **3. Constitutional and Ethics Committee Recommendation – Update on Review of Scrutiny Guidance and Functions**

A vote was taken (29 in favour, 26 voted against, 0 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Ali, Ash, Barkham, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, John Fox, Haynes, Hemraj, Hogg, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lane, Lillis, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Sandford, Shaheed, Skibsted, Wiggin, Yasin

**Councillor Against:** Aitken, Allen, Bashir, Bisby, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, Goodwin, Harper, Hiller, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Seaton, Simons, Walsh, Warren

**Councillors Abstaining:** Nil

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

It was **RESOLVED** that the Council agreed to update the Council's standing orders as attached in Appendix 1 to the report in relation to the Council's Call-in procedure to allow any three Councillors who sit on a Scrutiny Committee to be able to call-in both key and non-key decisions made by the Executive.

A vote was taken (53 in favour, 1 voted against, 0 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Aitken, Allen, Ash, Barkham, Bashir, Bisby, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Brown, Burbage, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Farooq, Fitzgerald, Fower, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Haynes, Hemraj, Hiller, Hogg, Holdich, Howard, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Azher Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lamb, Lane, Lillis, Murphy, Gul Nawaz, Shaz Nawaz, Over, Qayyum, Robinson, Rush, Sandford, Seaton, Shaheed, Simons, Skibsted, Walsh, Warren, Wiggin, Yasin 54

**Councillor Against:** Casey

**Councillors Abstaining:** Ali

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

It was **RESOLVED** that the Council Agreed to update the current functions of the Scrutiny Committees as detailed below and in Appendix 2 to the report:

- Move Libraries, Arts & Museums from the Growth, Environment and Resources Scrutiny committee to the Adults & Communities Scrutiny committee as this now falls under the Service Director Adults Services and Communities.
- Move Adult Learning & Skills from the Children & Education Scrutiny Committee to the Adults and Communities Scrutiny Committee.
- Move Youth Service from Children & Education Scrutiny committee to Adults & Communities Scrutiny Committee.

#### 4. **Constitutional and Ethics Committee Recommendation – Additional Council Meeting**

A vote was taken (unanimous) and it was **RESOLVED** that Council agreed to the introduction of an additional Full Council meeting to be held two weeks following the Annual Council meeting, in order to consider questions and motions and any other business.

#### 5. **Motion from Councillor Ed Murphy**

A vote was taken on whether to permit Councillor Murphy to move his altered motion (28 voted in favour, 27 voted against, 0 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Ali, Ash, Barkham, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, Haynes, Hemraj, Hogg, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lillis, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Sandford, Seaton, Shaheed, Skibsted, Wiggan, Yasin

**Councillor Against:** Aitken, Allen, Bashir, Bisby, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Hiller, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Lane, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Simons, Walsh, Warren

**Councillors Abstaining:** Nil

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

It was **RESOLVED** that Councillor Murphy was permitted to move his altered motion as follows:

“This council notes:

- Local government has endured central Government funding cuts of nearly 50% since 2010.
- Between 2010 and 2020, councils will have lost 60p out of every £1 they have received from central Government.
- The 2019 Local Government Association (LGA) survey of council finances found that 1 in 3 councils fear they will run out of funding to provide even their statutory, legal duties by 2022/23.
- The LGA estimates councils will face a funding gap of £8 billion by 2025.
- Faced with these cuts from central government, the local government workforce has endured years of pay restraint with the majority of pay points losing 22 per cent of their value since 2009/10.

- At the same time as seeing their pay go down in real terms, workers experience ever increasing workloads and persistent job insecurity. Across the UK, an estimated 876,000 jobs have been lost in local government since June 2010, a reduction of 30 per cent. Local government has arguably been hit by more severe job losses than any other part of the public sector.
- There has been a disproportionate impact on women, with women making up more than three quarters of the local government workforce.

This council believes:

- Our workers are public service heroes. They keep our communities clean, care for those in need, and keep our towns and cities running. Without the professionalism and dedication of our staff, the Council services our residents rely on would not be deliverable.
- Government funding has been cut to the extent that a proper pay rise could result in a reduction in local government services. The Government needs to take responsibility and fully fund increases in pay; it should not put the burden on local authorities whose funding been cut to the bone.

This council resolves to:

- Support the pay claim submitted by Unite, GMB and Unison on behalf of council and school workers for a £10 per hour as a minimum hourly rate along with a 10% uplift across all pay points in 2020-21; this to be wholly funded by central government.
- Call on the Local Government Association to make urgent representations to central Government to fund the National Joint Council (NJC) pay claim.
- Write to the Chancellor and Secretary of State to call for a pay increase for local government workers to be funded with new money from central Government.
- Meet with local NJC union representatives to convey support for the pay claim.
- Communicate with all local government workers encouraging them to join a trade union.”

A vote was taken on the motion relating to local government workers (17 voted in favour, 36 voted against, 2 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Ali, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, Hemraj, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Skibsted, Yasin

**Councillor Against:** Aitken, Allen, Barkham, Bashir, Bisby, Andrew Bond, Sandra Bond, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Louise Coles, Andy Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Haynes, Hiller, Hogg, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Lane, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Sandford, Seaton, Shaheed, Simons, Walsh, Warren, Wiggin

**Councillors Abstaining:** Ash, Lillis

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

The motion was **DEFEATED**.

## 6. Motion from Councillor Nick Sandford

A vote was taken on the motion relating to Brexit negotiations (25 voted in favour, 28 voted against, 1 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Ali, Barkham, Sandra Bond, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, Haynes, Hemraj, Hogg, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lillis, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Sandford, Shaheed, Skibsted, Wiggin, Yasin

**Councillor Against:** Aitken, Ash, Bashir, Bisby, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Hiller, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Lane, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Seaton, Simons, Walsh, Warren

**Councillors Abstaining:** Nil

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

The motion was **DEFEATED**.

## 7. Motion from Councillor Nick Sandford

A vote was taken on the motion relating to the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority Local Transport Plan consultation response (26 voted in favour, 28 voted against, 0 abstained from voting).

**Councillor For:** Ali, Ash, Barkham, Sandra Bond, Day, Dowson, Ellis, Fower, Haynes, Hemraj, Hogg, Howell, Amjad Iqbal, Jamil, Jones, Joseph, Lillis, Murphy, Shaz Nawaz, Qayyum, Robinson, Sandford, Shaheed, Skibsted, Wiggin, Yasin

**Councillor Against:** Aitken, Allen, Bashir, Bisby, Brown, Burbage, Casey, Cereste, Andy Coles, Louise Coles, Farooq, Fitzgerald, John Fox, Goodwin, Harper, Hiller, Holdich, Howard, Azher Iqbal, Lamb, Lane, Gul Nawaz, Over, Rush, Seaton, Simons, Walsh, Warren

**Councillors Abstaining:** Nil

**Councillors Not Voting:** Nil

The motion was **DEFEATED**.

## 8. Motion from Councillor Hogg

A vote was taken on the motion relating to the protection of Tenter Hill from development (unanimous) and the motion was **CARRIED** as follows:

“Council notes:

Medesham Homes applied for planning permission to build 20 homes on Tenter Hill Meadows. Residents in Stanground and across the wider area of Peterborough came together to fight this development. The application was called in by local ward councillors and the Planning Committee came to the conclusion to reject the planning application.

Medesham Homes then sought to appeal this decision with the Planning Inspectorate. Residents put together a petition of over 3,500 signatures to get the Council to use their co-ownership of Medesham Homes to withdraw this appeal. This showed the depth and breadth of feeling that Tenter Hill should be protected and continued to be enjoyed by the people of Peterborough. This land had previously been common land for the enjoyment of

the people of Stanground, but was transferred into the ownership of Peterborough City Council due to changes in the Land Registry.

The planning inspectorate has now delivered their decision in this regard and has rejected the appeal. This land had been in the Local Plan for possible development but now the new Local Plan has been adopted this is no longer the case. So there is now even less likelihood of an alternative development being allowed going forward.

The people of Stanground, however, need firm assurance for Peterborough City Council that Tenter Hill Meadows is now safe from the threat of development.

This council, therefore, resolves to:

Consider all suitable mechanisms, such as designation as a town/village green or as an area of local green space, which would protect this land from development in order to ensure that the residents of Peterborough are able to enjoy the open space provided by the land for all generations to come.”