



**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH ON 16 MAY 2017**

5. Development Control and Enforcement Matters

5.1 17/00604/HHFUL – 63 Peake Close, Woodston, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (unanimously) that planning permission is **GRANTED**

Reasons for the decision:

- The application would not result in an adversely overbearing impact upon the amenities of the occupiers of no.1 and no.2 Prospero Close.

5.2 16/02328/ADV – 85 Mayors Walk, West Town, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (unanimously) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to the conditions set out in the report.

Reasons for the decision:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The proposal would not unacceptably harm the appearance of the application site or the surrounding area, in accordance with Policy CS16 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011) and Policy PP2 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD (2012).
- The surrounding highways would retain their current levels of safety, in accordance with Policy PP12 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD (2012).

5.3 17/00405/HHFUL – 333 Thorpe Road, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (unanimously) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to relevant conditions and authority being delegated to Officers to review the bat survey, agree appropriate mitigation measures including any additional conditions and any necessary design changes along with any associated changes to the wording of the conditions set out at the end of this report.

Reasons for the decision:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including the weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:-

- The proposal would protect and preserve the setting of this grade 1 listed

building subject to the imposition of conditions. The proposal therefore complies with section 66 of the Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, policy CS17 of the adopted Core Strategy and policy PP17 of the adopted Planning Policy Framework.

- Subject to conditions, the works will preserve the character and appearance of the Longthorpe Conservation Area in accordance with Section 72(1), of the Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (as amended), Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, policy CS17 of the adopted Core Strategy and policy PP17 of the adopted Peterborough Planning Policies DPD (2012).
- Bats have been identified on the site. However it is considered that subject to further surveys and agreement of appropriate mitigation measures that the impact can be acceptably mitigated in accordance with policy CS21 of the adopted Core Strategy and policy PP16 of the adopted Planning Policies DPD.
- The proposal would not have any adverse impact upon existing trees in accordance with policy PP16 of the adopted Planning Policies DPD.
- The proposal would not have any adverse impact upon neighbour amenity and therefore accords with policy PP3 of the adopted Planning Policies DPD.

5.4 17/00406/LBC – 333 Thorpe Road, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (unanimously) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to relevant conditions with authority being delegated to Officers to agree any design changes along with any changes to/ further conditions as maybe required to ensure suitable mitigation for bats.

Reasons for the decision:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including the weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:-

- The proposal would protect and preserve the setting of this grade 1 listed building subject to the imposition of conditions. The proposal therefore complies with section 66 of the Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, policy CS17 of the adopted Core Strategy and policy PP17 of the adopted Planning Policy Framework.

5.5 17/00629/HHFUL – 11 Pembroke Grove, Glinton, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (8 voted in favour, none against and 1 abstained) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to relevant conditions.

Reasons for the decision:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The proposal would not result in an unacceptable impact to the character, appearance or visual amenity of the surrounding area, in accordance with Policy CS16 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011) and Policy PP2 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD (2012).
- The proposal would not result in unacceptable impact upon the amenities of neighbouring occupants, in accordance with Policy CS16 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011) and Policy PP3 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD (2012).

5.6 17/00338/HHFUL – 11 Broadway Gardens, Peterborough

RESOLVED: (unanimously) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to relevant conditions.

Reasons for the decision:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The conversion of part of the existing garage and the rear extension to the dwelling would not adversely impact upon the character and appearance of the conservation area in accordance with policy PP17 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD
- The part conversion of the garage to a habitable room and the rear extension would not impact upon the amenities of the occupiers of the two adjoining dwellings in accordance with policy PP3 of the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD.