



PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

TUESDAY 7 SEPTEMBER 2021
1.30 PM

Sand Martin House, Bittern Way

AGENDA

Page No

Supplementary Agenda

4-12

Emergency Evacuation Procedure – Outside Normal Office Hours

In the event of the fire alarm sounding all persons should vacate the building by way of the nearest escape route and proceed directly to the assembly point. The Officer on duty will assume overall control during any evacuation, however in the unlikely event the Officer is unavailable, this responsibility will be assumed by the Committee Chair. In the event of a continuous alarm sounding remain seated and await instruction from the duty officer.

Recording of Council Meetings: Any member of the public may film, audio-record, take photographs and use social media to report the proceedings of any meeting that is open to the public. Audio-recordings of meetings may be published on the Council's website. A protocol on this facility is available at:

<http://democracy.peterborough.gov.uk/ecSDDisplay.aspx?NAME=Protocol%20on%20the%20use%20of%20Recording&ID=690&RPID=2625610&sch=doc&cat=13385&path=13385>

Committee Members:

Councillors: C Harper (Chairman), P Hiller (Vice Chairman), R Brown, Warren, Iqbal, Jones, Hogg, Bond, Dowson, Hussain and Sharp

Substitutes: Councillors: B Rush, M Jamil, Bond and Yurgutene

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Karen Dunleavy on telephone 01733 452233 or by email – daniel.kalley@peterborough.gov.uk

Did you know? All Peterborough City Council's meeting agendas are available online or via the modern.gov app. Help us achieve our environmental protection aspirations and view this agenda online instead of printing it.

CASE OFFICERS:

Planning and Development Team: Nicholas Harding, Sylvia Bland, Janet MacLennan, David Jolley, Louise Simmonds,, Amanda McSherry, Matt Thomson, Asif Ali, Michael Freeman, Jack Gandy, Carry Murphy, Mike Roberts, Karen Ip, Shaheeda Montgomery and Susan Shenston

Minerals and Waste: Alan Jones

Compliance: Jason Grove, Amy Kelley and Alex Wood-Davis

NOTES:

1. Any queries on completeness or accuracy of reports should be raised with the Case Officer, Head of Planning and/or Development Management Manager as soon as possible.
2. The purpose of location plans is to assist Members in identifying the location of the site. Location plans may not be up-to-date, and may not always show the proposed development.
3. These reports take into account the Council's equal opportunities policy but have no implications for that policy, except where expressly stated.
4. The background papers for planning applications are the application file plus any documents specifically referred to in the report itself.
5. These reports may be updated orally at the meeting if additional relevant information is received after their preparation.

PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

7 September 2021 AT 1:30PM

- 1 Procedure for Speaking
2. List of Persons Wishing to Speak
3.
Briefing Update

UPDATE REPORT & ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

PETERBOROUGH CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC SPEAKING SCHEME - PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Procedural Notes

1. Planning Officer to introduce application.
2. Chairman to invite Ward Councillors, Parish Council, Town Council or Neighbourhood representatives to present their case.
3. Members' questions to Ward Councillors, Parish Council, Town Council or Neighbourhood representatives.
4. Chairman to invite objector(s) to present their case.
5. Members' questions to objectors.
6. Chairman to invite applicants, agent or any supporters to present their case.
7. Members' questions to applicants, agent or any supporters.
8. Officers to comment, if necessary, on any matters raised during stages 2 to 7 above.
9. Members to debate application and seek advice from Officers where appropriate.
10. Members to reach decision.

The total time for speeches from Ward Councillors, Parish Council, Town Council or Neighbourhood representatives shall not exceed ten minutes or such period as the Chairman may allow with the consent of the Committee.

MPs will be permitted to address Committee when they have been asked to represent their constituents. The total time allowed for speeches for MPs will not be more than five minutes unless the Committee decide on the day of the meeting to extend the time allowed due to unusual or exceptional circumstances.

The total time for speeches in respect of each of the following groups of speakers shall not exceed five minutes or such period as the Chairman may allow with the consent of the Committee.

1. Objectors.
2. Applicant or agent or supporters.

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE – 7 SEPTEMBER 2021 AT 1:30 PM

LIST OF PERSONS WISHING TO SPEAK

| Agenda Item | Application | Name | Ward Councillor / Parish Councillor / Objector / Applicant |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| 5.2 | 21/00806/HHFUL - 122 Newark Avenue, Dogsthorpe, Peterborough, PE1 4NS | Cllr Jones Phil Branston | Ward Councillor Agent |

BRIEFING UPDATE

P & EP Committee 7 September 2021

| ITEM NO | APPLICATION NO | SITE/DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1 . | 21/00118/OUT | Masjid Ghousia 406 Gladstone Street Millfield Peterborough , Proposed two storey building for use associated with the mosque including residential accommodation |

Following appointment of a new Planning Agent, the Applicant has requested the opportunity to make revisions to the proposal to address the concerns of Officers set out within the Committee Report.

Accordingly, Officers are now recommending that Members **defer determination** of this application to a later meeting of the Planning and Environmental Protection Committee (date to be confirmed).

| | | |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 2 . | 21/00806/HHFUL | 122 Newark Avenue Dogsthorpe Peterborough PE1 4NS , New boundary wall (part-retrospective), new vehicular footpath |
|-----|----------------|---|

A letter of support has been received from the Agent stating the following:

The above previous decision is unfounded and at variance with the opinion of the applicant and the ward councillors.

Dogsthorpe council estate is predominantly red brick – agreed. But this is not directly on the estate. The road on which the wall is situated – Rowan Avenue -is a minor access road onto the council estate and not actually on it. The residents of Rowan Avenue have already made changes to the external appearances of properties, render and light coloured paint, to brighten up the plain and mono colour of the red brick work.

The estate was conceived and constructed directly post war when the now modern coloured ranges of bricks were not available. Many of the properties have been rendered and painted in light colours, this is not to any detriment but brightens up what many would consider a dull street scene. Some of the properties may have been rendered and painted in the original construction.

The following are photographs of the properties adjoining the site in Rowan Avenue.





The following photographs were taken in Adjacent Sycamore Avenue and Chestnut Avenue.





In conclusion there are many examples on Dogsthorpe where the buildings external appearance have been lightened. Therefore the bricks in the boundary wall are not at odds or incongruous with the adjoining area.

Officer Response: *The Agent claims that Officers view on the design are unfounded. Matters of design are subjective, therefore Officers reviewed the character and context of the area. As illustrated in the photos below, provided by the Case Officer, this demonstrates that this part of the estate is predominantly red brick.*

Referring to the National Design Guide (2021), which has recently been adopted, and in line with Policy LP16, this states that new development should respond to context, local character and identity of an area. Paragraphs 56 and 57 are of relevance, which state, 'well-designed places contribute to local distinctiveness. This may include adopting typical ... materials, details, patterns and colours of an area. Materials [should be] ... selected with care for their context. They are attractive but also practical, durable and affordable. They contribute to visual appeal and local distinctiveness.'

Further to the Agent's supporting letter and accompanying photos, this demonstrates that there are a variety of boundary treatments throughout this part of the estate, however, the boundary treatments which are illustrated are positioned at the front of people's properties, whereas this application is for a two-metre-high brick wall, adjacent to a back garden. The Agent has successfully demonstrated that low level boundary treatment with hedges behind characterise the front of peoples properties, and is an appropriate boundary treatment for the area, however, in the minds of Officers this does not justify the proposal.

It should be emphasised that a wall which is less than 1 metre in height positioned adjacent to the highway does not require planning permission, therefore the Local Planning Authority and Members have no control. This proposal is for a wall more than 1m in height, therefore requires planning permission.

For the reasons outlined within the Committee Report, the introduction of a 2m high wall in a smooth yellow brick is uncharacteristic of the area, whether this be on the main road or on a side road. The wall forms a striking and dominant feature within the street scene, which is contrary to the established character and distinctiveness of the immediate area.

As such, the proposal is contrary to Policy LP16 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019) and Paragraphs 130 and 134 of the NPPF (2021).



(Case Officer Site Photo 2021)



(Case Officer Site Photo 2021)



Rowan Avenue (Google Street, 2019)



Corner of Newark Avenue and Rowan Avenue (Application site within foreground) (Google Street, 2019)